• *	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/646,205	WARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HYDER ALI	3747
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>HYDER ALI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Philip R. Warn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 July 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: NA		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Pursuant to a telephone interview with the attoney Mr. Warn. The examiner was informed that the issue fee was and will not be paid. It is the applicant's intention to abandon this case. Therefore the drafting's objection to FIG. 12 will not be corrected.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Hyder A.		
<b>V</b> -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)